	l .	
1	Kevin J. Curtis, WSBA No. 12085	
2	WINSTON & CASHATT, LAWYERS, a	
3	Professional Service Corporation 601 W. Riverside, Ste. 1900	
	Spokane, WA 99201	
4	Telephone: (509) 838-6131	
5	Charles L. Babcock IV (admitted pro hac vi	ice)
6	cbabcock@jw.com	
7	Texas Bar No. 01479500 William J. Stowe (admitted pro hac vice)	
8	wstowe@jw.com	
9	Texas Bar No. 24075124	
	JACKSON WALKER L.L.P. 1401 McKinney Street	
10	Suite 1900	
11	Houston, Texas 77010	
12	(713) 752-4360 (telephone) (713) 308-4116 (facsimile)	
13		
14	Attorneys for Defendants International Data Group, Inc., CXO Media, Inc. and Steve Ragan	
15	Oroup, me., CAO Media, me. and Steve Ka	gan
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	EASTERN DISTRIC	CT OF WASHINGTON
17		
18	RIVER CITY MEDIA, LLC, a Wyoming limited liability company, MARK	
19	FERRIS, an individual, MATT FERRIS,	No. 2:17-cv-105-SAB
20	an individual, and AMBER PAUL, an individual,	DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S
21	marviauai,	ANSWER
	Plaintiffs,	
22	vs.	
23	7 0,	
24	DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER	

PAGE 1

1 KROMTECH ALLIANCE CORPORATION, a German corporation, 2 CHRIS VICKERY, an individual, CXO MEDIA, INC., a Massachusetts 3 corporation, INTERNATIONAL DATA 4 GROUP, INC., a Massachusetts corporation, and STEVE RAGAN, an 5 individual, and DOES 1-50, 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 3. Denied.

Defendants.

Defendant CXO Media, Inc. ("CXO") respectfully submits this Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint (ECF No. 1) as follows:

I.

RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' ALLEGATIONS

- CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 1-2 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 4-5. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 4-5 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
 - Denied. 6.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 2

24

1

- 7. CXO denies that it engaged in any illegal actions causing any damage to Plaintiffs' businesses, reputations, livelihoods, and physical or mental health. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraphs 7 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 8. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 8 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5). CXO, however, denies any wrongdoing or that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief.
 - 9. Admitted.
 - 10. Admitted.
 - 11. Admitted.
 - 12. Admitted.
 - 13. Admitted.
 - 14. Admitted.
- 15. CXO admits that venue is proper but denies any acts of illegal computer access.
- 16-21. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 16-21 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 3 Winston & Cashatt

21

22

23

24

pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).

- 22. Admitted.
- 23. CXO admits that International Data Group, Inc. is a Massachusetts corporation but denies that it is the parent corporation of CXO.
- 24. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 24 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 25. CXO admits that Ragan is an employee of CXO but denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 25.
- 26-37. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 26-37 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 38. CXO denies that it is owned by International Data Group, Inc. CXO admits the remaining allegations of paragraph 38.
- 39. Admitted except that the actual quote was: "This is the story of how River City Media (RCM), Alvin Slocombe, and Matt Ferris, accidentally exposed their entire operation to the public after failing to properly configure their Rsync backups."
- 40. CXO admits that the article included the quote "This is the story of how River City Media (RCM), Alvin Slocombe, and Matt Ferris, accidentally exposed their DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 4 Winston & Cashatt

CXO otherwise denies the allegations in paragraph 40.

41. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegation in paragraph 41 of the Complaint regarding what "River City's records show" and therefore denies the allegation pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5). The remaining allegations in paragraph 41 are denied.

entire operation to the public after failing to properly configure their Rsync backups."

- 42-48. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 42-48 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 49. CXO denies that it or Ragan ever publicly announced an unlawful computer hacking by Defendants. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 49 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 50. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 50 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 51. CXO denies that it or Ragan "purposefully attack[ed] and compromise[ed] River City's Zabbix server" and "effectively hamstrung River City's ability to detect and stop their cyberattack." CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 5

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

PAGE 6

deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 51 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).

- 52. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 52 of the Complaint as they relate to other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny 53-56. the allegations in paragraphs 53-56 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this 57. time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 57 of the Complaint as they relate to other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this 58. time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 58 of the Complaint as they relate to other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- CXO denies that it or Ragan misappropriated or converted any funds and 59. admits that they would have had no authority to do so.
 - Denied. 60.
- Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this 61. time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 61 of the Complaint as they relate to DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER Winston & Cashatt

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).

- 62. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 62 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
 - Denied. 63-64.
- CXO admits that Ragan authored the article titled "Spammers expose their 65. backups" entire operation through bad located at https://www.csoonline.com/article/3176433/security/spammers-expose-their-entireoperation-through-bad-backups.html dated March 6, 2017 ("Ragan Article"). CXO admits that there is an article titled "Spammergate: The Fall of an Empire" located at https://mackeeper.com/blog/post/339-spammergate-the-fall-of-an-empire dated June 3, 2017 ("Vickery Article"). CXO denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 65.
- 66. CXO admits that the Vickery Article states that "RCM masquerades as a legitimate marketing firm while, per their own documentation, being responsible for up to a billion daily email sends." CXO admits that the Vickery Article includes the words "illegal hacking". CXO denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 66.
- CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the 67. allegations in paragraph 67 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5). CXO denies that it or Ragan wrongfully caused any harm to DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 7 Winston & Cashatt

RCM.

- 68. CXO denies that it or Ragan made any defamatory statements. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 68 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 69. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 69 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 70. CXO admits publishing the Ragan Article. CXO denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 70.
- 71. CXO admits that the Vickery Article includes the quotes "RCM masquerades as a legitimate marketing firm while, per their own documentation, being responsible for up to a billion daily email sends" and "How can a group of about a dozen people be responsible for one billion emails sent in one day? The answer is a lot of automation, years of research, and fair bit of illegal hacking techniques". CXO denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 71.
- 72. CXO admits that the Vickery Article includes the statement that "Purposely throttling your own machinery to amass open connections on someone else's server is a type of Slowloris attack [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Slowloris_(computer_security)]."

 DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER
 PAGE 8

 Winston & Cashatt

CXO denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 72.

- 73. CXO admits that the Ragan Article includes the following statements:
 - (quoting Vickery): "Once we concluded that this was indeed related to a criminal operation, it was decided that we should approach law enforcement and the affected companies (like Microsoft and Yahoo) before making any attempts at contacting the spammers directly."
 - "In the RCM chat logs, McKeown is respected for his scripting work. His efforts enabled RCM to exploit a number of providers in order to inbox offers."
 - "'Nobody would knowingly give their email address to spammers, so they have to be tricked into it. Usually, there is some kind of offer for a 'free gift' in exchange for giving up an email address and personal information. The fine print of these offers allows the company to share their address with their 'partners' which ends up also being their partner's partners, and their partner's partner's partners, until every spammer on the planet has their address,' explained Spamhaus' Mike Anderson. He goes on to explain such address lists are the lifeblood of the industry, and they're constantly being analyzed through tracking systems examining which addresses are viewing spam ads, which ones are clicking on them, and which ones are buying. 'Meanwhile, the original

DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 9



contract for handing over the address is never fulfilled, since it turns out to be impossible to redeem the 'free gift' or only with extreme difficulty. And of course these addresses never go through a confirmation process, to ensure it's the real owner of the address doing the signup."

CXO denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 73.

- 74. CXO admits that the Ragan Article included a link to the Vickery Article but denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 74.
- 75. Denied that the statements above are false. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 75 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
 - 76. Denied.
 - 77-78. Admitted.
- 79-81. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 79-81 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 82. CXO hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 83. CXO admits Vickery is not an employee of RCM but is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 83 of the DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 10

 Winston & Cashatt

-- Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).

- 84-88. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 84-88 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 89. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 89 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 90. Denied as to any conduct by CXO or Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 90 of the Complaint as they relate to Plaintiff RCM or the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 91. CXO hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 92. CXO admits Vickery is not an employee of RCM but is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 92 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 93-96. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 93-96 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations

 DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER
 PAGE 11

 Winston & Cashatt

pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).

97.

time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 97 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).

98. Denied as to any conduct by CXO or Ragan. CXO is without sufficient

Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this

- information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 98 of the Complaint as they relate to Plaintiff RCM or the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 99. CXO admits that RCM seeks punitive and exemplary damages but denies that RCM is entitled to recover them and denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 99.
- 100. CXO admits that RCM seeks attorney's fees but denies that RCM is entitled to recover them.
- 101. CXO hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 102. CXO admits Vickery is not an employee of RCM but is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 102 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).

DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 12

103-105. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 103-105 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).

- 106. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 106 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 107. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 107 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 108. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 108 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 109. Denied as to any conduct by CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 109 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).

DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 13

- 110. CXO hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 111. CXO admits Vickery is not an employee of RCM but is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 111 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 112-114. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 112-114 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 115. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 115 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 116. Denied as to any conduct by CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 116 of the Complaint as they relate to Plaintiff RCM or the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 117. CXO admits that RCM seeks punitive and exemplary damages but denies that RCM is entitled to recover them and denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 117.

DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 14



118. CXO hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

- 119-120. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 119-120 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 121. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 121 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 122. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 122 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
 - 123. Denied.
- 124. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 124 of the Complaint as they relate to Plaintiffs or the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 125. CXO hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as though DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 15 Winston & Cashatt

fully set forth herein.

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny 126-127. the allegations in paragraphs 126-127 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).

- 128. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 128 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 129. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 129 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 130 of the Complaint as they relate to Plaintiffs or the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 131. CXO hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 132. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 16 Winston & Kashatt

A PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CORPORATION 601 West Riverside Spokane, Washington 99201 (509) 838-6131

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

allegations in paragraph 132 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).

- 133. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 133 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 134. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 134 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 135. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 135 of the Complaint as they relate to Plaintiffs or the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 136. CXO hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny 137-138. the allegations in paragraphs 137-138 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).

DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 17

- 139. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 139 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 140. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 140 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 141. Denied as to any conversion by CXO or Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 141 of the Complaint as they relate to the Plaintiffs or the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 142. CXO hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 143. CXO admits Vickery is not an employee of RCM but is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 143 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 144-146. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 144-146 of the Complaint and therefore denies the DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 18

 Winston & Cashatt

allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).

PAGE 19

the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).

time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 147 of the Complaint as they relate to

147. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this

- 148. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 148 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 149. Denied as to CXO and Ragan. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 149 of the Complaint as they relate to the other Defendants and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 150-151. CXO is without sufficient information at this time to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 150-151 of the Complaint and therefore denies the allegations pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(b)(5).
- 152. CXO hereby incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 153-158. Denied.

 DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Jury Demand

CXO demands a jury for all claims and admits that Plaintiffs demand a jury as well.

Plaintiffs' Request for Relief

CXO denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief in the section of the Complaint titled "XVI. REQUEST FOR RELIEF".

Affirmative Defenses

Without assuming the burden of proof where it otherwise rests with Plaintiffs, CXO asserts the following defenses:

- 1. Plaintiffs fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 2. Plaintiff RCM is precluded from asserting its state-law claims because it is not registered to do business with the Washington Secretary of State. *See* RCW § 23.95.505.
- 3. Plaintiffs failed to mitigate their damages. On information and belief, Plaintiffs could have used reasonable efforts to mitigate their damages, including without limitation by not engaging in the conduct that is the subject of the Ragan Article and Vickery Article.
 - 4. Plaintiffs' claims against CXO and Ragan are barred due to the privilege of DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 20 Winston & Eashatt

fair comment on matters of public concern. The statements in the Ragan Article constituted a fair comment on a matter of public concern, and the statements were not made maliciously or based on false statements of fact.

- 5. In the event that the defendant bears the burden of proving the truth of the statements at issue, Plaintiffs' claims against CXO and Ragan are barred because the statements in the Ragan Article were true and, at a minimum, substantially true and the gist, or "sting," of the Ragan Article was true.
- 6. In the unlikely event of liability on the part of CXO, punitive and exemplary damages are unavailable to Plaintiffs under Washington law.
- 7. The statements in the Ragan Article are protected under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and Article I Section 5 of the Washington Constitution.

Prayer

For the foregoing reasons, Defendant CXO Media, Inc. respectfully requests that the Court dismiss Plaintiffs' suit against it, and grant it such other relief to which it may be justly entitled.

DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 21



Respectfully submitted this 6th day of April, 2017.

s/Kevin J. Curtis, WSBA No. 12085
WINSTON & CASHATT, LAWYERS
601 W. Riverside, Ste. 1900
Spokane, WA 99201
(509) 838-6131
Facsimile: (509) 838-1416
E-mail Address: kjc@winstoncashatt.com

Charles L. Babcock IV (admitted pro hac vice) cbabcock@jw.com
Texas Bar No. 01479500
William J. Stowe (admitted pro hac vice) wstowe@jw.com
Texas Bar No. 24075124
JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.
1401 McKinney Street
Suite 1900
Houston, Texas 77010
(713) 752-4360 (telephone)
(713) 308-4116 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Defendants International Data Group, Inc., CXO Media, Inc. and Steve Ragan

DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 22



1 I hereby certify that on April 6, 2018, I electronically filed the foregoing with the 2 Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will send notification of such filing 3 to the following: 4 Jason E. Bernstein - jake@newmanlaw.com 5 Leeor Neta (admitted pro hac vice) - leeor@newmanlaw.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 7 Christopher B. Durbin - cdurbin@cooley.com 8 Matthew D. Brown (admitted pro hac vice) - brownmd@cooley.com 9 Amy M. Smith (admitted pro hac vice) - amsmith@cooley.com 10 Attorneys for Defendant Kromtech Alliance Corporation 11 Edward C. Chung - Echung@cmmlawfirm.com 12 Attorney for Defendant Chris Vickery 13 s/Kevin J. Curtis, WSBA No. 12085 14 WINSTON & CASHATT, LAWYERS Attorneys for Defendants International Data 15 Group, Inc., CXO Media, Inc. and Steve Ragan 601 W. Riverside, Ste. 1900 16 Spokane, WA 99201 17 (509) 838-6131 Facsimile: (509) 838-1416 18 E-mail Address: kic@winstoncashatt.com 19 20 21 22 23 24 DEFENDANT CXO MEDIA, INC.'S ANSWER PAGE 23